

PROJECT PROFILE FORM

[Please keep responses brief and limit each to 200 words]

NAB Project №

[completed by NAB]

GIP code/Project №

[obtain from DSPPAC]

Cost Centre/Activity №

[obtain from Dept.]

Donor/DSPPAC file №

[obtain from DSPPAC]

1. Project title: COASTMO	OVE	
increase the risk of convironmental risks is increasing regions residents will be residents of low lying conduct surveys in 7 coars.	coastal floods, erosevitable, but it is unce forced to migrate. Spastal areas can adaptatal areas such as I	rising sea levels and urbanization in low lying areas will osion and salinization. Adaptation to reduce future clear which coastal areas will be protected and in which The EU-ERC COASTMOVE project aims assess how dapt and/or migrate to safer areas. For this, we aim to a Mozambique, Vietnam, Vanuatu, U.S.
3. Approval sought: *For identification of proje		□ Concept□ Funding Proposally ⊠ Continuation of existing project
4. Funding envelope: a		
5. Total funding (Vatu and 30K for the Vanuatu cas	e study	6. Access modality: n.a.
7. Implementing entity/or University Amsterdam	ganisation: VU	8. Executing entity/lead government agency: EU DG research
9. Other government / pa we cooperate with Linda NIV CONSULTING"	_	10. Project contact details: Dr. Liselotte Hagedoorn: liselotte.hagedoorn@vu.nl
11. Location: n.a		12. Duration: Years 0 months 6 From mar 23 to sep 23
13. Theme(s):		14. Climate/DRR relevancy (% of budget)
	aptation	
	R / DRM	□ Low (≥25%) □ Marginal (≥5%)
15. Sector(s) by ministry: Agriculture, livestock	(forestry	16. Scope: ☐ Regional
fisheries and biosec		☐ Provincial ☐ Community
Lands and natural re	-	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
mines, water)	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	□ Direct □ Indirect
	ptation,	
meteorology, geo-ha	-	18. Project Type:
environment, energy	and disaster	☐ Capacity building
management		Community awareness
Education and traini	•	
Finance and econonForeign affairs, inter	•	☐ Field implementation
cooperation and exte		☐ Formal education program
☐ Health	Siriai trado	☐ Funding - small grants
☐ Infrastructure and pu	ublic utilities	☐ Informal training courses
☐ Internal affairs (custo		☐ Knowledge communication
labour and employm		Pilot / trial / demonstration Project
Justice and commur	•	Planning and governance
Trade, tourism, indu	stry and	Policy formulation and integrationPolicy support
commerce		Research (feasibility study etc.)
Youth and sports de	veiopment	Other

CONTINUE FROM HERE ONLY IF PROJECT AT CONCEPT OR FUNDING PROPOSAL STAGE

19. Project rationale: Through a survey under coastal residents of Vanuatu, we will more insight into the drivers of adaptation and migration, and people will respond to sea level rise under climate change						
20. Project objective against the baseline: the baseline is the existing literature on the drivers of migration and climate adaptation of coastal households						
21. Policy coherence and alignment: The COASTMOVE project will provide input to the national DRR plans by providing insights in how the population of he coastal zone of Vanuatu perceives the risk from climate change and sea level rise, and also how they perceive the different options to adapt to SLR						
22. Current status: busy with preparatory activities						
23. Market overview: n.a						
24. Implementing / executing entity background / justification: Dr. Liselotte Hagedoorn is a very experienced researcher. She has conducted multiple surveys on climate adaptation on small island states (SIDS) and also recently in Mozambique and Ghana						
25. Institutional / implementation arrangements: the project is coordinated by the VU University and is a single grant project						
26. Results Areas [GCF projects only] Reduced emissions from (mitigation): □ Energy access and power generation □ Low emission transport □ Buildings, cities, industries & appliances □ Increased resilience of (adaptation): □ Most vulnerable people and communities □ Health/well-being, & food/water security □ Infrastructure and built environment						
☐ Forestry and land use ☐ Ecosystems and ecosystem services 27. Expected performance against investment criteria [GCF projects only] [brief description]						
a) Impact Potential: [Potential of the project to contribute to the achievement of the GCF's objectives and result areas]						
b) Paradigm Shift Potential: [Degree to which the proposed activity can catalyse impact beyond a one-off project investment]						
c) Sustainable Development Potential: [Environmental, social and economic co-benefits, including gender-sensitive development impact]						
d) Needs of the Recipient: [Vulnerability and financing needs of the beneficiary country and population]						
e) Country Ownership: [Beneficiary country's ownership of, and capacity to implement, a funded project]						
f) Efficiency and Effectiveness: [Economic and financial soundness of the project]						
28. Consultation: We will discuss and communicate results with stakeholders and with communities in public meetings						
29. Potential overlaps / duplication to be resolved: none						
30. Technical feasibility/evaluation: The project will fund local staff which will be coordinated by Linda Kenni. These staff members will be trained by Liselotte Hagedoorn, and they will do the interviews with the communities						
31. Economic and financial analysis/viability: All expenses will be covered						

32. Financial management and procurement: All auditing is subject to the struct rules of the	e EU					
33. Environmental and social considerations: A ethics/ dataplan has been designed (see						
attachment) which addresses all ethical issues						
34. Gender and social inclusion considerations: see attachment on ethics/ dataplan						
35. Monitoring, reporting and evaluation: n.a.						
36. Sustainability measures: n.a.						
37. Supporting documents [where applicable]						
Budget template [mandatory] Risk assessment [mandatory] Logical frame						
☐ Concept note ☐ Funding proposal ☐ Financial and	•					
☐ Environmental analysis ☐ Project timetable ☐ Letter of support of the control	port					
 □ Consultation evidence ☑ Data plan and ethics 38. Provincial consultation certification by implementing/executing entity 						
I certify that the Province has been consulted with and the project is consistent with the Provincial Governments Provincial Plan. I also confirm that I am not aware of any ongoing disputes or disagreements that may adversely impact on the implementation of the project. A letter of support						
	t support					
disagreements that may adversely impact on the implementation of the project. A letter o is attached.	t support					
is attached.	t support					
is attached.	f support					
is attached. Name Signature Date						
Name Signature Date 39. Director of Lead Government Agency I certify I have checked the project profile, and any other supporting information for screed project. I am satisfied that this project proposal is ready for presentation for approval.						
Name Signature Date 39. Director of Lead Government Agency I certify I have checked the project profile, and any other supporting information for screen						
Name Signature Date 39. Director of Lead Government Agency I certify I have checked the project profile, and any other supporting information for scree project. I am satisfied that this project proposal is ready for presentation for approval. Name Signature Date 40. DSPPAC Sectoral Specialist sign off I certify I have checked the project profile, and any other supporting information for screen	ening this					
Name Signature Date 39. Director of Lead Government Agency I certify I have checked the project profile, and any other supporting information for screed project. I am satisfied that this project proposal is ready for presentation for approval. Name Signature Date 40. DSPPAC Sectoral Specialist sign off	ening this					
Name Signature Date 39. Director of Lead Government Agency I certify I have checked the project profile, and any other supporting information for screed project. I am satisfied that this project proposal is ready for presentation for approval. Name Signature Date 40. DSPPAC Sectoral Specialist sign off I certify I have checked the project profile, and any other supporting information for screed project. I am satisfied that this project proposal is ready for presentation for approval.	ening this					
Name Signature Date 39. Director of Lead Government Agency I certify I have checked the project profile, and any other supporting information for screed project. I am satisfied that this project proposal is ready for presentation for approval. Name Signature Date 40. DSPPAC Sectoral Specialist sign off I certify I have checked the project profile, and any other supporting information for screed project. I am satisfied that this project proposal is ready for presentation for approval. Name Signature Date	ening this					
Name Signature Date 39. Director of Lead Government Agency I certify I have checked the project profile, and any other supporting information for screed project. I am satisfied that this project proposal is ready for presentation for approval. Name Signature Date 40. DSPPAC Sectoral Specialist sign off I certify I have checked the project profile, and any other supporting information for screed project. I am satisfied that this project proposal is ready for presentation for approval.	ening this ening this ening this erreening oproval. I has been ution has					
Name Signature Date 39. Director of Lead Government Agency I certify I have checked the project profile, and any other supporting information for screed project. I am satisfied that this project proposal is ready for presentation for approval. Name Signature Date 40. DSPPAC Sectoral Specialist sign off I certify I have checked the project profile, and any other supporting information for screed project. I am satisfied that this project proposal is ready for presentation for approval. Name Signature Date 41. Director General's Certification I certify that I have checked the project profile, and any other supporting information for so this project. I am satisfied that this project proposal is ready for presentation for appunderstand that no Government funding will be released for the project until the project in approved by the appropriate government authorities, any additional government contribute been appropriated, the approved donor funding has been released and a detailed project.	ening this ening this ening this erreening oproval. I has been ution has					

42.	Logical framework	(obiectives.	impacts.	outcomes.	outputs.	activities	and inputs) ,

Objective: Derive insights into the drivers of adaptation and migration under SLR							
Adaptation and Factors that motivate adaptation and thresholds that determine migration							
flood risk							
Expected Result	Indicator	Means of Verification	Baseline	Targe	et	Assumptions	
Expected Result	indicator	Wealls of Verification	Daseille	Mid-term	Final	Assumptions	
Impacts (that contribu	ute to the object	ive)					
[For GCF projects							
refer to the							
performance							
measurement							
framework]							
Project outcomes (th	nat contribute to	impacts)					
Project outputs (that contribute to outcomes)							
1.							
Activities	Description	Inputs Description					
1.1		1.1.1.		[Expand ta	ables as	needed]	

43. Project budget summary (estimated in Vatu '000)

Items/component	Year 1	Year 2	Year 3	Year 4	Year 5	Total	% of Total
Loans [specify source]							
Grants [specify source]							
Aid in kind*							
Government of Vanuatu							
Other e.g. GCF, community							
expand table as needed							
Total							100%

^{*} contributions made directly towards projects realisation such as equipment, materials, labour, T/A, building works, vehicles, time etc. and other quantifiable resources that count towards the achievement of the project results

44. Project component costs (estimated in Vatu '000)

Items/component	Year 1	Year 2	Year 3	Year 4	Year 5	Total	% of Total
Equipment/materials							
Personnel/staff/labour							
- e.g. technical assistance							
- e.g. local salaries							
Training/workshops etc.							
Travel							
expand table as needed							
Total							100%

This is for the main project components only. Not every cost needs to be specified. Other examples of components might be accommodation, vehicles, fuel, freight, allowances, VNPF contributions, telephone, computers, stationery, an implementing entity administrative fee (if included as part of the loan/grant), project monitoring, contracts, rent, printing, overheads etc.

45. Project risk factors, mitigation measures, and assessment tool*

[Use tool to describe the financial, technical/operational, social/environmental and other risks that may prevent the project objectives from being achieved, and proposed risk mitigation measures.]

^{*}please use this format only if there is not a mandatory format required by the implementing/executing entity

Selected Risk Factor 1				
Description	Risk category	Level of impact	Probability	Score
[Description of risk factor, e.g. new	Select [financial,	Select [low <5%	Select [low,	
government regulations, loss of key staff,	technical/operational,	of project value,	medium,	
delays in delivery of equipment etc.]	social/environmental,	medium 5-20%,	high]	
	other]	high >20%]		
Mitigation Measure(s)				
[Describe how the identified risk will be mi	tigated or managed. Do ti	he mitigation measu	res lower the pr	obability
of risk occurring? If so, to what level?]				
Selected Risk Factor 2		T		
Description	Risk category	Level of impact	Probability	Score
	Select	Select	Select	
Mitigation Measure(s)				
maganori mododio(o)				
Selected Risk Factor 3				
Description	Risk category	Level of impact	Probability	Score
	Select	Select	Select	
Mitigation Measure(s)				
mugamen medean e (e)				
Selected Risk Factor 4				
	Diak aatagam	Lovel of impost	Drobobility	Coore
Description	Risk category	Level of impact	Probability	Score
	Select	Select	Select	
Mitigation Measure(s)				
[Expand table as needed]				
[
Total score (add all th	e scores and divide by	the total number of	of risk factors)	
[Describe other potential issues which will	Il he monitored as "emer	aina risks" durina th	ne life of the pro	iect (i.e.
issues that have not yet raised to the leve				
issues related to external stakeholders suc				
100000 Totalou to oxiomal stationologis suc	an as project beneficialled	s of the pool of pote	mai contractors	•1
These are project related risks, not broade	r ganaral glabal alimatia	and anyiranment ri	al.a	

^{*}These are project related risks, not broader, general, global climatic and environment risks

	Probability	Low	Medium	High
Impact	Score	(1)	(2)	(3)
Low	(1)	1	2	3
Medium	(2)	2	4	6
High	(3)	3	6	9

Multiply the impact of each risk factor by the probability of each risk factor to give an individual risk factor score. Then add all the individual risk factor scores and divide by the number of risk factors to give an overall project risk score.

Key 1 Negligible 2 Minor 3 Moderate 4 Major 6 Severe 9 Extreme

History of the document

Version	Date	Nature of revision
1.0	NAB Meeting 9 February 2018	Initial endorsement